PHYSICAL CORES V. ENHANCED THREADING SOFTWARE: *Performance Evaluation Whitepaper*

Preface

Today's world is ripe with computing technology. Computing technology is all around us and it's often difficult to decipher what is actually being offered at any given moment with any given advancement. Oftentimes advancements can come so quick that we do not take the time to understand the importance it has on our fields.

One such advancement in computing technology is *Parallelism*. Parallelism is defined by the execution of many computing calculations carried out simultaneously. The foundation behind parallelism is that large calculations can be completed quicker if they are divided into smaller calculations and all solved in parallel, hence the root definition of the word. Parallelism has just recently achieved mass popularity in the technology industry long after the advent of the microprocessor.

Recent physical constraints like power delivery and heat dissipation, and the need for higher clock frequencies have made single-core processors outdated. Parallel computing capabilities enabled in common software applications coupled with advances in microprocessor technologies have made multi-core processors the preferred architecture for today's PCs. Multi-core processors standout and are differentiated by their core-count, or the amount of physical cores contained on the processor die itself. Core-count differentiates processors and is often used in the nomenclature: i.e.: "the AMD Phenom[™] II X4, *quad-core* processor".

Parallelism and multi-core processors go hand-in-hand. When large calculations are divided into many smaller calculations it is best to have dedicated technology resources ready to work on each of those smaller calculations simultaneously. This is where the performance of physical multi-core processors really begin to shine, and where multi-core processor technology shifts from a *want to have* to a *must have*.

Introduction

In this performance evaluation whitepaper we are going to examine the difference between dedicating processor cores to completing smaller calculations simultaneously versus the speed of enhanced threading software on cores.

Performance Measurements¹

For this performance comparison we'll be comparing four different processors, two from both AMD and Intel. The list of processors used for the performance evaluations is listed in Figure 1. The two AMD processors both have six cores each while the two Intel processors both have four cores each.

Model Name	Company Make	# of Cores	Clock speed (Frequency)	Total Cache (L2+L3)
AMD Phenom™ II 1090T	AMD	6	3.2GHz	9MB
AMD Phenom™ II 1055T	AMD	6	2.8GHz	9MB
Core™ i7 930*	Intel	4	2.8GHz	8MB
Core™ i5 750	Intel	4	2.66GHz	8MB

Figure 1:

* Equipped with Intel Hyper-Threading™ Technology

Apart from their brand and model numbers, both Intel processors appear very similar, and are primarily differentiated by their clock speeds. Both the Intel Core[™] i5 750 and Intel Core[™] i7 930 are equipped with four cores and 8MB of total cache. One key difference between these two processors is that the Intel Core[™] i7 930 processor has a feature called *Intel Hyper-Threading[™] Technology*. Intel Hyper-Threading[™] Technology utilizes Intel's proprietary software to enhance the computing capabilities of thread processing on each of the Intel Core[™] i7 930's four cores.

¹ Results based on performance testing completed at AMD performance labs on 05/01/2010. Please see pages 9-11 for both AMD and Intel system configurations used in testing.

Performance Benchmarking

For the purpose of this exercise performance will be measured and based on benchmarking applications that will focus on threading.

Benchmarks Used:

Maxon® CINEBENCH[™]http://www.maxon.net/index.php?id=162

Persistence of Vision Raytracer (POV-Ray)http://www.povray.org/

Measuring Results

The results of the Maxon® Cinebench[™] and Persistence of Vision Raytracer® (POV-Ray) on all four processors are recorded in Figure 2 below.

Figure 2

			CINEBENCH	POV-Ray
Model	# of real cores	Clock speed	Raw score	Raw score
1090T	6	3.2GHz	5.65	4306
1055T	6	2.8GHz	5.0	3743
i7-930	4	2.8GHz	5.03	3634
i5-750	4	2.66GHz	3.75	2709

The AMD Phenom[™] II X6 six-core processors excel at straight-forward threading exercises because they contain physical cores dedicated to performing the functions.

Individual core performance of both AMD processors in Maxon® Cinebench[™] and Persistence of Vision Raytracer ® (POV-Ray) is calculated by dividing the total score of each benchmark by the number of physical cores contained on the processor used.

Cinebench: AMD Phenom[™] II X6 1055T = 5.0/6 cores = 0.83 PovRay: AMD Phenom[™] II X6 1055T = 3743/6 cores = 623.8

With the Intel Core[™] i7 930 and Intel Core[™] i5 750 processors, the calculation for performance is different. To examine the performance which can be attributed to Intel's Hyper-Threading[™] Tehnology, performance is based on the difference in scores between the Intel Core[™] i7 930 (4-core + 4-Hyper-threads) and the Intel Core[™] i5 750 (4-core). Finally, the result is divided by four to isolate the performance attributable to the additional threads enabled by Intel Hyper-Threading[™] technology.²

Cinebench®:

Intel Hyper-Threading[™] Technology Performance

= Core[™] i7 930 score less Intel Core[™] i5 750 score

= (5.03-3.75)/4 = 0.321

PovRay®:

Intel Hyper-Threading[™] Technology Performance

= Core[™] i7 930 score less Intel Core[™] i5 750 score

= (3436-2709)/4 = 231

² Isolated Intel Hyper-Threading results is a theoretical calculation constructed by AMD to estimate performace attributable to Intel Hyper-Threading software.

Comparing Results

When examining how much additional performance may be gained by an *increasing physical processor core count* versus *software that boosts the threading ability on existing cores*, we find based on our testing that physical processing cores have a direct performance advantage over enhanced threading software. When looking at the direct results of our testing we find:

Cinebench®:

The performance attributable to a core of the AMD Phenom[™] II 1055T Six-Core Processor = 2.6 times faster than the threading enhancement achieved on the Intel Core[™] i7 930 Processor 0.83/0.321 = 2.6

PovRay®:

The performance attributable to a core of the AMD Phenom[™] II 1055T Six-Core Processor = 2.7 times faster than the threading enhancement achieved on the Intel Core[™] i7 930 Processor 623/231 = 2.7

When we examine the AMD Phenom[™] II X6 1090T Six-Core processor the performance advantage against enhanced threading software becomes even greater:

Cinebench®:

The performance attributable to a core of the AMD Phenom[™] II X6 1090T Six-Core Processor = 2.9 times faster than the threading enhancement achieved on the Intel Core[™] i7 930 Processor

0.942/0.321 = 2.93

PovRay®:

The performance attributable to a core of the AMD Phenom[™] II X6 1090T Six-Core Processor = 3.1 times faster than the threading enhancement achieved on the Intel Core[™] i7 930 Processor 718/231 = 3.1

Conclusion

Based on the results of the Cinebench® and PovRay® benchmark tests, we find that physical cores outperform enhanced threading software in certain applications. Although direct results will always vary upon the system configurations used, we find that a microprocessor's physical core count to not only be an important factor to consider in the purchase of a new PC, but also enhances its longevity.

DISCLAIMER

The information presented in this document is for informational purposes only and may contain technical inaccuracies, omissions and typographical errors.

The information contained herein is subject to change and may be rendered inaccurate for many reasons, including but not limited to changes to the AMD Fusion Partner Program. AMD assumes no obligation to update or otherwise correct or revise this information. However, AMD reserves the right to revise this information and to make changes from time to time to the content hereof without obligation of AMD to notify any person of such revisions or changes.

AMD MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS HEREOF AND ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INACCURACIES, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY APPEAR IN THIS INFORMATION.

AMD SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT WILL AMD BE LIABLE TO ANY PERSON FOR ANY

DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR OTHER CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING FROM THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, EVEN IF AMD IS EXPRESSLY ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

Trademark Attributions

AMD

AMD, the AMD Arrow logo, ATI, the ATI logo, AMD Athlon, AMD Phenom, Avivo, CrossFireX, PowerXpress, Radeon and combinations thereof are trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. in the United States and/or other jurisdictions. Other names used in this presentation are for identification purposes only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Copyright ©2010 Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. All rights reserved.

Intel

BunnyPeople, Celeron, Celeron Inside, Centrino, Centrino Inside, Core Inside, i960, Intel, the Intel Iogo, Intel AppUp, Intel Atom, Intel Atom Inside, Intel Core, Intel Inside, the Intel Inside Iogo, Intel NetBurst, Intel NetMerge, Intel NetStructure, Hyper-Threading, Intel SingleDriver, Intel SpeedStep, Intel Sponsors of Tomorrow., the Intel Sponsors of Tomorrow. Iogo, Intel StrataFlash, Intel Viiv, Intel vPro, Intel XScale, InTru, the InTru Iogo, InTru soundmark, Itanium, Itanium Inside, MCS, MMX, Moblin, Pentium, Pentium Inside, skoool, the skoool Iogo, Sound Mark, The Journey Inside, vPro Inside, VTune, Xeon, and Xeon Inside are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the U.S. and other countries.

Copyright © Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119, USA.

Maxon

Maxon, Cinebench are trademarks of Maxon Computer Inc., in the U.S. and other countries.

Copyright 1998-2009 MAXON Computer GmbH, MAXON Computer Inc., MAXON Computer Ltd.

Persistence of Vision Raytracer (POV-Ray)

Persistence of Vision Raytracer, POV-Ray, PovRay, are trademarks of Persistence of Vision Raytracer Pty. Ltd., in the U.S. and other countries.

Copyright 2003-2008 Persistence of Vision Raytracer Pty. Ltd.

Testing Configurations Used

AMD System Specs:

AMD Processor-Based System Configuration Information		
	ntify hardware and software used in ons that are NOT publicly available.	
Operating System		
Name:	Win7 64bit Ultimate	
Processor		
	AMD Phenom II X6 1055T	
	AMD Phenom II X6 1090T	
Hardware		
Motherboard:	Talapia I (Reference Board)	
BIOS Info:	A7640AMS.10i	
Is BIOS publicly available?	Yes	
Chipset:	RS880 + SB750	
Memory		
Manufacturer & Type:	Corsair CM2X2048-8500C5D	
Quantity & Size: (each)/(MB)	Qty (4) 2048MB DIMM Module	
Total Memory Size: (MB)	8 GIG Total	
Hard Drive	x1	
Model Name:	Western Digital Raptor	
Model Number:	WD300HLFS WD VelociRaptor	
Hard Drive Size:	300GB	

Transfer Mode:	SATA 10000 RPM
Other Info:	NTFS was used to format the hard disk
Network Card	Onboard
Sound Card	Onboard
Video:	
Graphics Adapter:	Sapphire ATI Radeon HD 5870
Memory Size (MB) and Type:	1GB DDR5

Intel System Specs

AMD Processor-Based System Configuration Information		
AMD is required to identify hardware and software used in evaluation configurations that are NOT publicly available.		
Operating System		
Name:	Win7 64bit Ultimate	
Processor		
	Intel Core i7 930	
	Intel Core i5 750	
Hardware		
Motherboard:	Intel DX580SO	
BIOS Info:	5020	
Is BIOS publicly	Yes	

available?		
Chipset:	RS880 + SB750	
Memory		
Manufacturer & Type:	Corsair CM2X2048-8500C5D	
Quantity & Size: (each)/(MB)	Qty (4) 2048MB DIMM Module	
Total Memory Size: (MB)	8 GIG Total	
Hard Drive	x1	
Model Name:	Western Digital Raptor	
Model Number:	WD300HLFS WD VelociRaptor	
Hard Drive Size:	300GB	
Transfer Mode:	SATA 10000 RPM	
Other Info:	NTFS was used to format the hard disk	
Network Card	Onboard	
Sound Card	Onboard	
Video:		
Graphics Adapter:	Sapphire ATI Radeon HD 5870	
Memory Size (MB) and Type:	1GB DDR5	